

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Requests for Review of the)	
Decision of the)	
Universal Service Administrator by)	
)	
The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS)	File No SLD-378195
Washington, DC)	
)	
The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS)	File No. SLD-379856
Washington, DC)	
)	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism)	

If a request for confidential treatment is clearly indicated on the first page of the filing, the staff at the filing counter will enclose the filing in a Commission envelope labeled "confidential."

We are requesting confidential treatment.

If you are alleging prohibitive conduct by a third party, there are additional rules for serving a copy on that third party and allowing them to respond. Consult 47 C.F.R. §54.721, which can be found in Title 47 of the [Code of Federal Regulations](#).

We are alleging prohibitive conduct by USAC Reviewers.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: Initial Contact case 21-262709
From: sldnoreply@sl.universalservice.org
Date: Fri, May 27, 2005 12:57 pm
To: arbowlds@netkonnnect.net

Thank you for your inquiry. In order that the SLD may provide you with a thorough and accurate response, we are currently researching your request. An answer will be provided to you as soon as we learn more.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact our Schools and Libraries Helpline at 1-888-203-8100. Please remember to visit our website for updates: <http://www.sl.universalservice.org>

Thank you,
Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

-----Original Message-----

From: arbowlds@netkonnnect.net
Subject: Initial Contact

[FirstName]=Anthony
[LastName]=Bowlds
[JobTitle]=Vice President
[EmailAddress]=arbowlds@netkonnnect.net
[WorkPhone]=2024392650
[FaxPhone]=8005251853
[PreviousCaseNumber]=0

[FormType]=Code 9
[Owner]=TCSB
[DateSubmitted]=5/27/2005 10:29:28 AM
[AttachmentFlag]=N[Question2]=Our SPIN number is 143024226. There seems to be favoritism being played inside USAC. They seem to be holding up or tuning down Washington DC Applicants and Services Providers in large numbers. This has been going on since year 2003-2004 and is now going through 2004-2005. If you check the FRN that were funded and not funded you will notice extreme differences. In the year 2003-2004 Washington DC had approximately 19% funded for the whole year.

As of this year 2004-2005.

5/27/2005

STATE	total FRN	not funded	funded	%funded
-------	-----------	------------	--------	---------

DC	326	213	113	
34.66				
IN	2255	865	1390	
61.64				
TX	9699	2525	7174	
73.96				
CA	9322	2843	6479	69.50
LA	2537	593	1944	76.62

As you can see there is something going on inside USAC in regards to the Washington DC Applicants and Service Providers. This may be happening in other states. The question we have is why USAC is turning down so many Washington DC Applicants and Service Providers?

More proof that favoritism being played inside USAC.

The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS) File No. SLD-380695
Washington, DC)

USAC Reviewers turned down some service providers and approved others under the same entity for that year, for there own reason. Example- They turned down the school because they stated During application review, you were asked to demonstrate that when you filed your Form 471 you had secured access to the funds needed to pay your portion of the charges, and you were unable to do so on the other hand they approved the other service provider showing that the entity did have there portion of the charges. This has been going on for inside USAC the passed few years. This is the reason that we are appealing. They are intentionally trying to turn certain service providers regardless of rules. They approved other service providers ill respective of the rules.